

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,851	ZENZ, INGO	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL.

(3) ____.

(2) James Howard, Reg. No. 56,377.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009

Time: 1pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection

Claims discussed:

23 - 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propogation medium, and Mr. Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propogation related mediums.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexandria Bromell/
 Examiner, 2167
 January 14, 2009

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

